

Text Delivered Interventions

Lorien Abroms, ScD Milken Institute School of Public Health, GWU



Columbia University April 28, 2017



Or. Abroms/GWU has licensed Text2Quit/Quit4baby to Voxiva Inc.

Funding Source

This research was supported by 5K07 (NCI), R15CA167586 (NCI), R44DA035017 (NIDA) to Dr. Lorien Abroms



Aims of Talk

I. Can mobile technology promote smoking cessation?
II. What mechanisms are involved?
III. How can it promote reach?

Technology Use

- 92% of US adults own a mobile phone
 -88% text with their phone
- 68% have smartphones
 - 75% have used their phone to look up health information
 (Pew 2015; Pew 2014; CTIA 2014)

Common Across All Major Groups

| Race/ethnicity | | |
|-----------------------|----|--------------------|
| White | 91 | |
| Black | 94 | |
| Hispanic | 92 | |
| Age group | | |
| 18-29 | 98 | |
| 30-49 | 96 | |
| 50-64 | 90 | |
| 65+ | 78 | |
| Household income | | |
| <\$30K | 86 | |
| \$30K-\$49,999 | 94 | |
| \$50K-\$74,999 | 91 | |
| \$75K+ | 98 | |
| Educationalattainment | | D D 001 |
| Less than high school | 86 | rew Research, 2018 |
| High school | 90 | |
| Some college | 93 | |
| College+ | 95 | |



Rationale for Text Messaging

- 1. Reach: Help anywhere and anytime
- 2. Proactive messages interrupt you
- 3. Interactive help
- 4. Personalized help
- 5. Increase contact over time
- 6. Unobtrusive and confidential
- 7. Opportunities for tracking of behavior
- 8. Goes with smoking



Abroms LC, Padmanabhan N, and Evans WD. 2012, "Using Mobile Phones for Health Promotion." In S.M. Noar & N.G. Harrington (Eds.), *eHealth Applications: Promising Strategies for Behavior Change*. New York: Routlegde, 147-166.

Drawbacks of Text Messaging

Technological challenges to deliver
Technological challenges to receive (e.g. charged)
Financial challenges to have service and receive messages; "On and off the grid" (e.g service, data)
Privacy: SMS are not secure

Study of Text2Quit



Automated, interactive, personalized text messages for quitting smoking
 Messages are timed around quit date

- Advice on quitting, peer ex-smoker messages, medication msgs, games, and relapse messages.
- ◇On-Demand: need additional motivation, having a craving, relapse.
- 2-3 messages/day following quitdate

♦ Developed in 2011

Abroms LC, Boal AL, Simmens SJ, Mendel JA, Windsor RA. A randomized trial of Text2Quit: a text messaging program for smoking cessation. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014 Sep;47(3):242-50.



Personalization

Reasons for quitting
Triggers
Gender
Use of pharmacotherapy
Stats on money saved and health benefits accrued

Text2Quit. Lorien's reasons to quit are: To improve my health, To save money, So that I can be there for my kids Lailah, David & Maya

SAMSUND

Interaction:

- Track cigarettes smoked
- Track how day went (urges and smoking)
- Weekly smokefree pledge
- Surveys: "Are you ready?"
- "Did you Quit?"

- CRAVE
- SMOKED
- STATS

SAMSUNG

Randomized Trial of Text2Quit

- Participants recruited on the Internet with Google ad words
- Randomized to receive Text2Quit or a self-help material (Smokefree.gov; *Clearing the Air*).
- Surveyed at baseline, 1, 3, and 6 months post-enrollment to assess smoking status.
- Saliva collected from self-reported quitters at 6 months
- Those lost to follow up were categorized as smokers.

Abroms LC, Boal AL, Simmens SJ, Mendel JA, Windsor RA. A randomized trial of Text2Quit: a text messaging program for smoking cessation. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014 Sep;47(3):242-50.

Be Free Study (N=503)

| Table 2. Relative risl | t for smoking outcom Follow-up Survey | es ^a (n = 503) Measure | Intervention (SE) (n = 262) | Control (SE) (n = 241) | Relative risk (95% Cl) |
|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Primary outcome | | Biochemically confirmed RPP abstinence | 11.1% (.02) | 5.0% (.01) | 2.22 (1.16 – 4.26)* |
| | | Self-reported RPP abstinence ^b | 19.9% (.02) | 10.0% (.02) | 1.99 (1.27 – 3.13)** |
| | 6 Months 3 Months | confirmed abstinence | 15.7% (.02) | 11.2% (.02) | 1.40 (.89 – 2.20) |
| | | Not smoked in the past 7 days (%) | 31.7% (.03) | 20.8% (.03) | 1.53(1.13 – 2.07)** |
| Secondary | | Not smoked in the past 30 days (%) | 24.8% (.03) | 15.8% (.02) | 1.57 (1.10 – 2.26)* |
| outcomes | | Not smoked in the past 7 days (%) | 33.2% (.03) | 19.9% (.03) | 1.67 (1.23 – 2.26)** |
| | | Not smoked in the past 30 days (%) | 27.5% (.03) | 16.2% (.02) | 1.70 (1.20 – 2.41)** |
| | 1 Month | Not smoked in the past 7 days (%) | 30.5% (.03) | 14.5% (.02) | 2.10 (1.47 – 3.00)*** |
| | | Not smoked in the past 30 days (%) | 11.8% (.02) | 7.5% (.02) | 1.58 (.91 -2.76) |

Abroms LC et al, *Am J Prev Med*. 2014

Cochrane Review

Figure 2. Forest plot of comparison: I Mobile phone intervention v ersus control, outcome: I.I 26-week cessation outcomes all studies.

| | Treatm | Treatment | | Control Risk Ratio | | Risk Ratio | | Risk Ratio |
|--|--------|-----------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|------|---------------------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl |
| Bock 2013 | 6 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 0.3% | 6.00 [0.77, 46.87] | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Shelley 2015 | 2 | 54 | 2 | 53 | 0.6% | 0.98 [0.14, 6.71] | | |
| Gritz 2013 | 3 | 236 | 5 | 238 | 1.5% | 0.61 [0.15, 2.50] | | |
| Abroms 2014 | 29 | 262 | 12 | 241 | 3.8% | 2.22 [1.16, 4.26] | | |
| Ferguson 2015 | 13 | 142 | 15 | 142 | 4.6% | 0.87 [0.43, 1.75] | | |
| Naughton 2014 | 34 | 299 | 19 | 303 | 5.8% | 1.81 [1.06, 3.11] | | — •— |
| Free 2009 | 15 | 102 | 19 | 98 | 5.9% | 0.76 [0.41, 1.41] | | |
| Haug 2013 | 36 | 372 | 26 | 383 | 7.8% | 1.43 [0.88, 2.31] | | + |
| Whittaker 2011 | 29 | 110 | 32 | 116 | 9.5% | 0.96 [0.62, 1.47] | | |
| Borland 2013 | 68 | 755 | 26 | 422 | 10.2% | 1.46 [0.95, 2.26] | | ⊢ •− |
| Rodgers 2005 | 64 | 852 | 39 | 853 | 11.9% | 1.64 [1.12, 2.42] | | |
| Free 2011 | 268 | 2911 | 124 | 2881 | 38.1% | 2.14 [1.74, 2.63] | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 6125 | | 5760 | 100.0% | 1.67 [1.46, 1.90] | | |
| Total events | 567 | | 320 | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 26.94, df = 11 (P = 0.005); I ² = 59% | | | | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z=7.62 | (P < 0.0 | 0001) | | | | 0.01 | Favours (control) Favours treatement |

Whittaker R, et al.. Mobile Phones for Smoking Cessation. *Cochrane Review*. 2016

| The Guide to Co THE COM What | mmunity Preventive Se MUNITY GU Works to Promote H | rvices IDE lealth | | Community Preventive Services Task Force O Community Guide O CDC.go | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Home Task Force Fi | indings 👻 Topics | Use The Community Guide • | Methods 👻 | Resources 💌 | News About Us | | | |
| Home » Topics » <u>Tobacco</u> » Inc | Text Size: <u>s m l xl</u> | | | | | | | |
| Tobacco | Tobacco Increasing Tobacco Use Cessation: Mobile Phone-Based | | | | | | | |
| Reducing Tobacco Use Initiation Increasing Tobacco Use Cessation Summary of Findings Increasing the Unit Price Internet-Based | Mobile phone-base information, strate Typically, participe content changes of Content may be d be tailored for ind provided by the p provided on dema | ed cessation interventions use inter egies, and behavioral support direct ants receive text messages that sup over the course of the intervention. eveloped or adapted for specific po ividuals based on computer algorith articipant. Programs may be autom nd to participants encountering urg | sation interventions use interactive features to deliver evidence-based and behavioral support directly to tobacco users interested in quitting, iceive text messages that support their quit attempt, and the message e course of the intervention. What's this? Submit your email address to get updates The Community Guide topics of interest. What's this? Submit your email address to get updates to incommunity Guide topics of interest. What's this? Contact Us | | | | | |
| Interventions Mass Media | Mobile phone-base Internet-based ce | ventions, such as | Email Address | | | | | |
| Campaigns Mass Media: Cessation Series Mass Media: Cessation Contests Mobile Phone-Based | Summary of T The <u>Community P</u> for tobacco cessat abstinence among findings from six s in combination with | ask Force Recommendation reventive Services Task Force recon- tion based on sufficient evidence of the people interested in quitting. Evides tudies in which mobile phone-bases th Internet-based interventions. | ns & Findings mmends mobile phone effectiveness in increa ence was considered s d interventions were i | e-based interventions asing tobacco use sufficient based on mplemented alone or | | | | |

Annu Rev Public Health. 2015 Mar 18;36:393-415. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031914-122855.

Mobile text messaging for health: a systematic review of reviews.

Hall AK¹, Cole-Lewis H, Bernhardt JM.

Author information

Abstract

The aim of this systematic review of reviews is to identify mobile text-messaging interventions designed for health improvement and behavior change and to derive recommendations for practice. We have compiled and reviewed existing systematic research reviews and metaanalyses to organize and summarize the text-messaging intervention evidence base, identify best-practice recommendations based on findings from multiple reviews, and explore implications for future research. Our review found that the majority of published text-messaging interventions were effective when addressing diabetes self-management, weight loss, physical activity, smoking cessation, and medication adherence for antiretroviral therapy. However, we found limited evidence across the population of studies and reviews to inform recommended intervention characteristics. Although strong evidence supports the value of integrating text-messaging interventions into public health practice, additional research is needed to establish longer-term intervention effects, identify recommended intervention characteristics.

1million + subscribers since launch (2011)

Send free text-messages to pregnant users (3x/week), timed around due date on a variety of health topics

| ●●●00 AT&T 🗢 | 9:41 AM | 50 % 🔳 |
|--------------|----------|---------|
| Messages | John Doe | Contact |

Today 8:32 AM

Text4Baby: If you smoke, it's important to quit. You'll be proud you did! Test messages to help you quit. Get gift cards if eligible. Reply YES to learn more.

If mothers know best, why don't I know what comes next?

Feeling confused? Now, there's help delivered straight to your cell phone.

> Just text BABY to 511411 today, and start getting FREE messages with information to help you through your pregnancy. They're the most important texts you'll get for the next 9 months.

For more information, visit

Quit4baby

Automated, interactive, personalized text messages for quitting smoking
Messages are timed around quit date and baby's due date
Advice on quitting related to benefits to mom and baby, facts on harms of smoking to mom and baby, peer ex-smoker messages, and relapse prevention messages.

Surveys and On-Demand keywords

\$ + messages/day following quitdate

7-day Smoking Abstinence N=497

Abrome at al under review

Abroms LC, Johnson P. Leavitt LE, Cleary SD, Chiang SC, Brandon TH Bushar J. A Randomized Trial of a Text-Messaging Program to Promote Smoking Cessation in Pregnant Women. *Under review*

Participant Quit Rate by Pregnancy Status

Quit4baby Summary

- Significant difference in self-reported past 7 day smoking at 1,3 months
- Prepartum effects but not postpartum effects
- Encouraging findings for mCessation in low income pregnant women

Aims of Talk

I. Can mobile technology promote smoking cessation?
II. What mechanisms are involved?
III. Can technology promote

reach?

Logic Model

mHealth inputs (SMS)

Advice on quitting

Peer ex-smoker messages

Tracking & feedback

Tools if craving/slip

Pledge

| Social support |
|-----------------------|
| Self-efficacy |
| Behavioral capability |

Intermediate outcomes

(Psychosocial)

Proximal outcomes

(Extra-treatment)

Hoeppner B,, et al. Addiction. 2016.

Health outcome

Quit smoking

Psychosocial or Extra-Treatment?

- Same rates of extra-treatment seeking at 1 mo (e.g NRT, quitline, counseling, 1-on1, self-help materials, online community)
- Text2Quit had greater increases in psychosocial (selfefficacy) compared to control (p<.01)
- Self-efficacy significant mediator of effect.
- Accounts for 51.1% of the effect of Text2Quit on Cessation at 6 months
- Only variable with a complete mediational path
- Text messaging programs may be appropriate in low/ middle income countries

Can you max out on program effects?

Natural experiment in commercial quitline setting with rollout of Text2quit

- Propensity score matching on intake covariates
- Control Group: 4-call Phone Counseling, NRT, WebCoach (N=4363)
- Enhanced group: control +Text2Quit (N=4363)
- Result: Similar rates of 7-day abstinence at 7 mo (25.3%/25.5%)
- Text2Quit more satisfied
- Text2Quit not confer benefit for quitting in tandem with quitline services

Boal, A, **Abroms LC**, Graham A. Simmons S. Combined Quitline Counseling and Text Messaging for Smoking Cessation: A Quasi-Experimental Evaluation. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. Oct 31, 2015.

Aims of Talk

I. Can mobile technology promote smoking cessation?
II. What mechanisms are involved?
III. Can technology promote reach?

Connecting the digital dots

Reactive: Build it and they will come

Proactive: Use SMS for outreach and service.

Call Us 866-434-9750 f 💟 🖾 🦁 🖶 < 25 Client Login

Quit For Life Weight Talk Careers Employer Resources Blog

Quit For Life[®] Program

The nation's leading tobacco cessation counseling program.

-Covers 27 States, 675 employers/ health plans -Participants receive: 1) multiple outbound coaching calls, 2) Web Coach[®] 3) Text2QuitSM -Over 300,000 callers enrolled in Text2Quit

Proactive: SMS Outreach for SMS program

- Text4baby list
- India government list
- GW MFA: smoker patient list
- Medicaid lists

30 million Promotional SMS sent via gov directories

| •••• Airtel 3G | 12:48 pm | ◙∦■ |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| K Messages | DM-QTOLIV | Details |

Message Today 12:45 pm

Make India Tobacco Free. To quit tobacco, register for free. Give missed call to 01122901701 or register at http:// www.nhp.gov.in/quittobacco

भारत को तंबाकू मुक्त बनायें। तंबाकू छोड़ने के लिए 01122901701 पर मिस कॉल दें या <u>http://</u> www.nhp.gov.in/quittobacco पर रजिस्टर करें।

Message

Send

0

Whittaker R, Abroms, L & Murthy P. WHO Presentation. 2016

Month wise Miss Calls Details

1.53 n

Reactive:

- Make available & they will come
- Some success, especially for general smoking cessation text messaging (but not pregnancy) (Heminger et al. 2016)

Proactive SMS Outreach for SMS program

- Found success both in India and Quit4baby
- Open question whether will work from a health system.

Aims of Talk

I. Can mobile technology promote smoking cessation?
II. What mechanisms are involved?
III. Can technology promote reach?

Scientific Take Home Points

 I. Can mobile technology promote smoking cessation?
 Yes, multiple RCT indicate that 70% increase in likelihood of quitting. Emerging evidence with pregnant.

- II. What mechanisms are involved? Psychosocial changes
- III. Can technology promote reach? Yes, both reactive and proactive methods

The future of population health with technology...

Reach:

- Smokers search for apps and digital programs and find ones proven to work
- Patient are screened in EHR as smoker and leave clinical visit with digital program
- Smokers receive outreach by SMS for SMS program

Efficacy

- Proven programs are refined and optimized over time
- Integrated with sensors that connect to smartphone (Smokerlyzer, Smokebeat)
- Integrated with smartphone capabilities --- GPS, calendar...
- Integrated with counselors –real or automated--who are activated in high need situations

Automated text messaging programs are easy to develop

JMIR MHEALTH AND UHEALTH

Abroms et al

Original Paper

Recommended Steps for Developing and Pretesting a Text Messaging Program for Behavior Change

Lorien C Abroms^{1*}, ScD; Robyn Whittaker^{2*}, MBChB,MPH,Ph. D; Caroline Free^{3*}, Ph. D,MB ChB; Judith Mendel Van Alstyne¹, MPH; Jennifer M Schindler-Ruwisch¹, MPH

²The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

³London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

these authors contributed equally

¹The George Washington University, Washington, DC, United States

Acknowledgments: Thank-you!

Faculty

- A. Graham, Schroede
- S. Cleary, GWU
- T. Brandon, Moffit
- S. Bernstein, Yal
- C Heminger, G
- A. Boal, consultant
- P. Johnson, Voxiva
- S. Simmens. GWU
- M. Turner, GWU
- D. Shelley, NYU
- D. Evans, GWU
- B Hoeppner, Harvard
- K. Tercyak, Georgetown
- L Westmaas, ACS
- K. Carpenter, Optum
- J. Shuter, Montifiore
- R. Whittaker, U of Auckland
- P. Murthy, National Institute of Mental Health & Neuroscience, India

Students and Staff L Leavitt, Consultant S. Chiang GWU J Schindler-Ruwisch, GWU J. Mendel, GWU J Bontemps-Jones, ACS Allison Goldstein, WHO

Participants in trials: Text2Quit Quit4baby

Mobile phone SMS messages can enhance healthy behaviour: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.

Orr JA1, King RJ1.

Author information

Abstract

Healthy behaviour, such as smoking cessation and adherence to prescribed medications, mitigates illness risk factors but health behaviour change can be challenging. Mobile phone short-message service (SMS) messages are increasingly used to deliver interventions designed to enhance healthy behaviour. This meta-analysis used a random-effects model to synthesise 38 randomised controlled trials that investigated the efficacy of SMS messages to enhance healthy behaviour. Participants (N = 19,641) lived in developed and developing countries and were diverse with respect to age, ethnicity, socioeconomic background and health behaviours targeted for change. SMS messages had a small, positive, significant effect (g = 0.291) on a broad range of healthy behaviour. This effect was maximised when multiple SMS messages per day were used (g = 0.395) compared to using lower frequencies (daily, multiple per week and once-off) (g = 0.244). The low heterogeneity in this meta-analysis (I (2) = 38.619) supports reporting a summary effect size and implies that the effect of SMS messaging is robust, regardless of population characteristics or healthy behaviour targeted. SMS messaging is a simple, cost-effective intervention that can be automated and can reach any mobile phone owner. While the effect size is small, potential health benefits are well worth achieving.

Multiple messages a day Across a wide range of health behaviors ranging from appointment reminders to ART medication adherence.